VII. How to Assess Student Learning

The basic assessment question to be answered is the degree to which improved learning has been achieved as a result of the course redesign. Answering this question requires comparisons between the student learning outcomes associated with a given course delivered in its traditional form and in its redesigned form. There are two steps to achieve this goal: (1) establish the method of obtaining data, and (2) choose the measurement method.

Q: How and when do you obtain the data?

A: There are various ways to acquire the data.

- **During the Pilot Term**

  This comparison can be accomplished in one of two ways:

  1. **Parallel Sections (Traditional and Redesign)**

     Run parallel sections of the course in traditional and redesigned formats and look at whether there are any differences in outcomes—a classic "quasi-experiment."

  2. **Baseline Before (Traditional) and After (Redesign)**

     Establish baseline information about student learning outcomes from an offering of the traditional format before the redesign begins and compare the outcomes achieved in a subsequent (after) offering of the course in its redesigned format.

     *Note:* The number of students assessed should include at least 100 from the traditional format and 100 from the redesigned format.

- **During the First Term of Full Implementation**

  Because there will not be an opportunity to run parallel sections once the redesign reaches full implementation, use baseline data from (a) an offering of the traditional format before the redesign began, or (b) the parallel sections of the course offered in the traditional format during the pilot phase.

  The keys to validity in all cases are (a) to use the same measures and procedures to collect data in both kinds of sections and (b) to ensure as fully as possible that any differences in the student populations taking each section are minimized (or at least documented so that they can be taken into account).
Q. What measures should you use?

A: The degree to which students have actually mastered course content appropriately is, of course, the bottom line. Therefore, some kind of credible assessment of student learning is critical to the redesign project.

Following are descriptions of three measures that may be used.

- **Comparisons of Common Final Exams.** One approach is to use common final examinations to compare student learning outcomes across traditional and redesigned sections. This approach may include sub-scores or similar indicators of performance in particular content areas as well as simply an overall final score or grade. (*Note:* If a grade is used, there must be assurance that the basis on which it was awarded is the same under both conditions—e.g., not curved or otherwise adjusted.)

**Examples**

*Parallel Sections.* "During the pilot phase, students will register for either the traditional course or the redesigned course. Student learning will be assessed mostly through examination developed by departmental faculty. Four objectively scored exams will be developed and used commonly in both the traditional and redesigned sections of the course. The exams will assess both knowledge of content and critical-thinking skills to determine how well students meet the six general learning objectives of the course. Student performance on each learning outcome measure will be compared to determine whether students in the redesigned course are performing differently than students in the traditional course."

*Before and After.* "The specifics of the assessment plan are sound, resting largely on direct comparisons of student exam performance on common instruments in traditional and redesigned sections. Faculty have developed a set of common, objective questions that measure the understanding of key mathematical concepts. This examination has been administered across all sections of the course for the past five years. Results obtained from the traditional offering of the course will be compared with those from the redesigned version."

- **Comparisons of Common Content Items Selected from Exams.** If a common exam cannot be or has not been given, an equally good approach is to embed common questions or items in the examinations or assignments administered in the redesigned and traditional delivery formats. This design allows common baselines to be established. For multiple-choice examinations, a minimum of 20 such questions should be included. For other kinds of questions, at least two or three complex problems should be included.

**Examples**

*Parallel Sections.* "The primary technique to be used in assessing content is common-item testing for comparing learning outcomes in the redesigned and traditional formats. Direct comparisons of learning outcomes will be obtained from 15 common complex problems embedded into course assessments: 5 early in the semester, 5 at mid-semester and 5 in the final examination in both the traditional and redesigned courses."
Before and After. "The assessment plan will address the need to accommodate a total redesign. The plan calls for a before/after approach using 30 exam questions from the previously delivered traditionally-configured course and embedding them in exams in the redesigned course to provide benchmarks for comparison."

- Comparisons of Pre- and Post-tests. A third approach is to administer pre- and post-tests to assess student learning gains within the course in both the traditional and redesigned sections and to compare the results. By using this method, both post-test results and value-added analyses can be compared across sections.

Examples

Parallel Sections. "The most important student outcome, math knowledge, will be measured in both redesigned and traditional courses. To assess learning and retention, students will take: a pre-test during the first week of the term and a post-test at the end of the term. The faculty, working with the evaluation team, will design and validate content-specific examinations that are common across traditional and redesigned courses. The instruments will cover a range of behaviors from recall of knowledge to higher-order thinking skills. The examinations will be content-validated through the curriculum design and course objectives."

Before and After. "Student learning in the redesigned environment will be measured against learning in the traditional course through standard pre- and post-tests. The college has been collecting data from students taking this course, using pre- and post-tests to assess student learning gains within the course. Because the same tests are administered in all semesters, they can be used to compare students in the redesigned course with students who have taken the course for a number of years, forming a baseline about learning outcomes in the traditional course. Thus, the college can compare the learning gains of students in the newly redesigned learning environment with the baseline measures already collected from students taking the current version of the course."

Q: Should the assessments be different from those used in the course?

A: We strongly recommend that you avoid creating add-on assessments for regular course assignments such as specially constructed pre- and post-tests. These measures can raise significant problems with student motivation. It is easier to match and compare regular course assignments.

Q: How can we be sure that the students in parallel sections are equivalent if they have not been randomly assigned?

A: If parallel sections are formed based on student choice, it would be a good idea to consider whether differences in the characteristics of students taking the course in the two formats might be responsible for differences in results. Final learning outcomes could be regressed on the following: status (full-time versus part-time), high-school percentile rank, total SAT score, race, gender, whether the student was taught by a full-time or part-time faculty member, and whether the student was a beginning freshman.

Q: Are there other comparisons that would be useful to the redesign effort?

A: In addition to choosing one of the three measures described earlier, the redesign team may want to conduct other comparisons between the traditional and redesigned formats such as:
- Performance in follow-on courses
- Attitude toward subject matter
- Deep vs. superficial learning
- Increases in the number of majors in the discipline
- Student interest in pursuing further coursework in the discipline
- Differences in performance among student subpopulations
- Student satisfaction measures